







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/849,637 | 09/849,637 05/04/2001 | | Dov Malonek | 20066.79 | 6911 |
| 26418 | 7590 | 02/25/2003 | | | |
| REED SM | TH, LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR | | | | EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT | |
| NEW YOR | NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3762 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Æ 1 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| · · | 09/849,637 | MALONEK ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | George R Evanisko | 3762 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused and the parameter of the mail than three months after the mail than the parameter of the parameter | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 | 1 May 2001 . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 7 | This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-61 are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc | epted or b) objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) | approved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in r | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received in App | olication No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | p y do 0.0.0. 3 | 5 <i>3</i> | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Info | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/849,637

Art Unit: 3762

the skin.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-49, drawn to a lead, classified in class 607, subclass 119.

II. Claims 50-61, drawn to a method for applying non-excitatory stimuli, classified in

class 607, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process not involving applying non-excitatory stimuli to blood vessels or epicardial tissue, but for applying excitatory stimuli to

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1 and 2, represented by the method for applying the non-excitatory stimuli within blood vessels and the method for applying the non-excitatory stimuli to epicardial tissue, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,637

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to William Dippert on 2/20/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/849,637

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 306-4520 for regular

communications and 703 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko **Primary Examiner**

Page 4

Art Unit 3762

120/3

GRE

February 20, 2003